

UNITED STATES DE ARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/926,246

Г

09/05/97

SULLIVAN

M

SLD-2035-1-2

QM12/1213

DIANE F. COVELLO, ESQ. DIVISION PATENT AND TRADEMARK COUNSEL SPALDING SPORTS WORLDWIDE 425 MEADOW STREET, P.O. BOX 901 CHICOPEE MA 01021-0901 GRAHAM, M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3711

DATE MAILED:

12/13/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/926,246

Applic_nt(s)

Examiner
Mark S. Graham

Group Art Unit 3711

Sullivan

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 10, 1999	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal r in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 1; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respon application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time 37 CFR 1.136(a).	nd within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims are	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review The drawing(s) filed on	the Examiner. By L.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). Cority documents have been Jonal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	

Application/Control Number: 08/926,246

Art Unit: 3711

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Each of the following has been identified by the examiner as new matter:

1. In claim 1, the lower limit on the core diameter (29 mm), the upper limit on the core specific gravity (1.4), the lower limit of the intermediate layer thickness (1 mm), the upper limit of the specific gravity of the intermediate layer (1.2), the lower limit of the hardness of the intermediate layer (85 on JIS C), and the upper limit of the thickness range of the cover being claimed (3 mm) was not disclosed in the originally filed specification.

As an example the upper limit on the thickness range of the originally disclosed cover was 1.27 mm.

2. In claim 3, there is no basis provided for the applicant's reasoning that the now claimed hardness range of the cores and covers was inherent in the original specification. Without a basis in the original specification the now claimed ranges must be considered new matter.

Application/Control Number: 08/926,246

Art Unit: 3711

- 3. In claim 5, the lower limit of the diameter of the center core being claimed (29 mm) was not disclosed in the originally filed specification. The lower limit originally disclosed was 35.052 mm.
- 4. In claim 6, neither the upper or lower limit of the claimed difference in the specific gravity (.5 .1) was disclosed in the originally filed specification. By applicant's admission the limits of the difference disclosed were (.234 .164).
- 5. In claim 7, neither the upper limit (1.0) nor the lower limit (.9) were disclosed in the originally filed specification. While values within that range were disclosed they do not make inherent the upper and lower bounds of the claimed range.
- 6. In claim 8, neither the upper limit (100) nor the lower limit (85) were disclosed in the originally filed specification. While values within that range were disclosed they do not make inherent the upper and lower bounds of the claimed range.

Claims 1-8 of this application have been copied by the applicant from U. S. Patent No. 5,553,852. These claims are not patentable to the applicant because they constitute new matter under 35 U.S.C. 112 1st paragraph.

An interference cannot be initiated since a prerequisite for interference under 37 CFR 1.606 is that the claim be patentable to the applicant subject to a judgement in the interference.

Application/Control Number: 08/926,246

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark S. Graham at telephone number (703) 308-1355.

MSG

December 6, 1999

Mark S. Graham